Олена Скоробогатова, Олена Бувалець

УДК 811.161.1'367.622

BINARY OPPOSITIONS IN RUSSIANLANGUAGE POETRY OF XX^{th-}XXIst CENTURIES
(a case study of hyphenated substantival binomials)

На прикладі дефісних субстантивних біномів, що функціонують у російськомовному поетичному тексті ХХ-ХХІ століть, розглянуто основні бінарні опозиції, що пов'язані з універсальними архетипними протиставленнями. Виявлено загальні та індивідуально-авторські особливості реалізації універсальних бінарних опозицій в дефісних субстантивних біномах.

Ключові слова: бінарні опозиції, архетипні опозиції, субстантивні біноми, простір, час, свій – чужий, повторюваність, циклічність, поетичний текст.

The problem of binary universe categorisation which is based on revealing the unity of the contraries has been under discussion in many historico-philosophical, culturological, anthropological, literary research papers. Shaping and reflecting such notions as *good and evil, heavens and earth, life and death* in the language dates back to ancient linguistic consciousness and is attributable to universal archetypical oppositions that are based on "the system of binary distinctive features the set of which is the most universal means of world semantics description" (Toporov 1: 37). In our opinion, dialectic nature of the dual entity which comprises the overlap of binate fundamental notions influencing each other and being polar points on a certain notional scale is best expressed by the term *binary opposition* which is widely used in modern humanities, especially in philological works.

Binary oppositions as a linguocultural phenomenon have been under detailed consideration in scientific works of M. Bakhtin, K. Claude Lévi-Strauss, V. Toporov, S. Averintsev, Viach. Vs. Ivanov. Specific issues of binary oppositions studies have been described by such modern researchers as R. Gzhegorchikova, T. Grigoryeva, K. Gudkova, S. Karpenko, A. Sazonova, T. Tsivyan and others. Notwithstanding the vast covering of the binary oppositions problem in modern science some aspects of their typology and functioning still remain unstudied. One of such aspects is binary oppositions' representation by means of substantival binomials which function in the Russian language poetic text.

By a substantival binomial we understand a grammatical binomial expression representing graphical and grammatical merger of two independent substantival units existing in lexicon. As a result of this merger we have the overlap / superposition of their meanings (ref. Buvalets "Substantivnye Binomy" 2930). For instance, *маг-алхимик, клен-старик, хлеб-соль, кормилица-земля.* The substantival binomials and polynomials are widely represented in the Russian poetry (Skorobogatova "Dvoynye Substantivnye Sochetaniya"), (Skorobogatova "Grammaticheskiye Znacheniya" 183190). The core corpus of substantival binomials is formed by binomials with a noun in apposition as a modifier, however, there have been singled out other types and subtypes of grammatical binomial expressions such as: substantival binomial groups "with synonymic, antonymic, syncretical hyponymic-and-hyperonymic and quasisynonymic components along with constructions with spatial relations between the components" (Buvalets "Dvoynye Substantivnye Konstruktsii" 83). Hyphenation is one of the main criteria for singling out the substantival binomials as a special grammatical unit in the modern Russian language. Hyphenation of a considerable number of substantival binomials and polynomials in poetry testifies the hyphen role as a uniting symbol, that is the sign indicating the close grammatical and semantic interaction of two appositional nouns.

Among the variety of substantival binomials we single out the constructions conveying a binate notional structure and reflecting "the simplest classification form" (Bikerton 295), for example, *конец-начало, выдох-вдох, чет-нечет.* The aim of the article is to describe the characteristic features of the notional structure and poetic functioning of hyphenated substantival binomials which represent universal archetypical binary oppositions used in the Russian language poetry of XXthXXIst centuries.

Binary oppositions are related to archaic binary world categorisation and they are a specific set of "universal oppositeness" (Toporov 1: 15), which contrtibute to "world modelling … according to a certain criteria" (Tsivyan 244). First and foremost, such oppositions include the oppositeness "*счастье – несчастье* (or *доля – недоля*), *жизнь – смерть* and their most abstract numerical value: *чет – нечет*" (Toporov 1: 15). V. Toporov claims that all the other oppositeness can be considered as "alloelements" in regard to the universal oppositions (Toporov 1: 15). Such alloelements include oppositeness elements related to characterisation of space (*верх – низ, небо – земля, правый – левый, восток – запад, север – юг*), time (*день – ночь, весна (лето) – осень (зима)*), nature forces (*огонь – вода*), biological and social division (*мужской – женский, старший – младший, свой – чужой, близкий – далекий*), and more generic oppositeness defining "the whole set mode" (*сакральный – мирской*) (Toporov 1: 1516, 38). The specific feature of binary oppositions is synonymous with "all left and respectively right members" of oppositions (Toporov 1: 16), as well as "the ambivalency" that is the simultaneous assertion and negation in their semantic structure (Bakhtin 28).

It is worth to emphasize that the analysis of substantival binomials as binary oppositions implementation in poetry is topical as the given oppositeness (which are underlying universal complexes) arise "in the bosom of mythopoetic tradition" (Toporov 1: 39) rather than anywhere else. The number of that kind of binomials is not large but

their analysis is of the utmost interest for both the research of binary oppositions and well-balanced comprehensive study of the poetic cognitive resource.

Among the binomials functioning in the poetic text we single out, first and foremost, a group of substantival pairs in which we can observe the realisation of either a complete stage of any cycle or the rhythmicality / recurrence of actions or movements.

The beginning and the end as the starting point and the finale of a closed cycle are implemented in the binary opposition *конец-начало*. Let us consider the example: *Внутри тебя запутанный клубок, / Тем нитям не найти конца-начала…* (Olefir 30). In this poetic fragment we can observe the poetical reflection of the coincidence of the beginning and end essence. "Poetical philology" (Ya. Gin's term (Gin 125)) studies the object primarily from the inner viewpoint [Gin 125], the real unity of the beginning and the end of the thread in a clew (the characterisation depends on the hearer's point of view) was poetically reflected and distinctly represented.

The universal oppositeness *вдох-выдох (выдох-вдох)* conveys the meaning of life rhythmicality, its permanent motion, alternation of events: *Лупят, не жалея ног, / Чередуя выдох-вдох, / Чтоб по жизни прогреметь, / Как первый гром!* (Vl. Vasil'yev) (Antologiya 123).

In one of poetical compositions by Victor Sosnora the idea of poetical perception of life cycle is represented by means of a substantival binomial *вдох-выдох* along with other means of the language expressiveness:

Д е в у ш к а:

Там – дождь идет? (Смеется). Куда?

А н г е л:

Не знаю.
Идет, как все мы – сверху вниз,
***Вдох-выдох**, время – мех кузнечный…*
Конец… ни страха и ни сил…
(Sosnora "Vozvrashcheniye k Moryu" 196)

The dialogue between the Girl and the Angel is founded on poetic actualisation of the dead metaphor – *дождь идет, время идет, жизнь идет* and the direct meaning of the verb *идти* contrasted with the metaphorical meaning – *мы идем* (*Идет, как все мы…*). This piece of poetry has a multifaceted overlapping of spatiotemporal markers. The life flow is represented by the rhythmic recurrence of the life cycle of the living being. The rhythmicality of these movements is presented by means of a substantival binomial *вдох-выдох,* as well as by the image of current of time like blacksmith's bellows, expressed by metaphor *время – мех кузнечный,* in which temporal parameters of the stream of life are represented by similar (patterned, recurring) actions, similar to the movements of blacksmith's bellows as well as temporal duration of these rhythmical backward-and-forward actions. The rain motion trajectory in space in the vertical direction is compared to the direction of the stream of human being's life which has been stated by the author: *Идет, как все мы – **сверху вниз**.* The motion orientation vertically down indicates that the author imagines the stream of life moving from the top and heyday to decline and end alternatively to the opposite bottom-up orientation which symbolises the process of growth, development and perfection. The up-downwards motion in this fragment leads to the inevitable cycle ending: ***Конец**… ни страха и ни сил…*

In our opinion, the complex interaction of spatiotemporal markers, which is represented in the poetic fragment given above, is an example of the author's idea of a part of a cyclical time model as "the event-related time and qualitative" (Panova 78); the events "happen as they are meant to be" (Panova 78). In other words, the represented cycle with its beginning and end recurs in time thus showing the constant repetitiveness of certain events although the persona focuses his attention to the specified segment of a temporal and spatial cycle (*сверху вниз*), and its demonstration is connected with the specific poetic objective implementation.

The substantival binomial *чет-нечет* in general terms is the abstract designation of parity and oddness. In Russian poetry this lexical pair is most commonly represented by the collocations with the conjunction *и: чет и нечет*. According to Russian National Poetic Corpus (Natsionalny Korpus), the above-mentioned collocation is used on a regular basis in the context of game: *Меня переполнял простор летящих волн, / Как будто синева играла в **чет и нечет**…* (V. Blazhenny); *Сколько, сколько в **чет и нечет** / Промотали вы годов!* (D. Samoylov). The contextual unification of the binomial opposition elements *чет/нечет* can denote a choice of either one thing or the other: *Ставить все на **нечет или чет**…* (I. Bem); ***Чёт, нечет**, лапушка иль данник?* (N. Klyuev). Besides that, this universal opposition is used for expressing contrary notions, situations or origins: ***Полынь** горька, а **мята** горе лечит; / игра в **тепло** и в **холод** – в чет и нечет.* (N. Aseyev); ***Полдень** ранит, **полночь** лечит… / **Полночь** – полдень – **чет и нечет**… / Час **добычи**, час **потери**, / Все по прихоти и мере…* (Yu. Baltrushaytis). *Чет и нечет* in the poetic context conveys the meaning of rhythmical repetitive movement: *Сарая ветошная кровля / **дождем** играла: **чет и нечет**.* (L. Aronzon).

In some lyrical texts the hyphenated substantival binomial has been coined to show a closer unity of components in comparison with the conjunctional one: 1. *Щелчками **колеса** играют в **чет-нечет** / Ах, сколько прочитано*

строчек рельс. (В. Zemenkov); 2. *За* **чет-нечет** / *творил триптих...* (Sosnora "Vozvrashcheniye k Moryu" 148). It is either the name of the game (example 1) or the definition of principle (example 2).

The rhythmicality of dance movements which is supported by a substantival binomial *чет-нечет* is represented in a poem by V. Vysotsky "Che-chetka-" («Че-чет-ка») (Vysotsky 2: 207-209). The sound matching of the word **чет** and part of the word *чечетка,* which is graphically emphasized by the author via hyphenating the word in a strong position of the poem, i.e. the title, becomes the rhythmical basis of the poetic representation of the particular dance: *Все это корежит / Чечетка, калечит, / Нам нервы тревожит / Чёт-нечет, чёт-нечет!* The substantival binomial *чет-нечет* in most cases conveys the unity of universal binary opposition of parityoddness, whereas in this context it delivers the rhythmicality of position change 'toe -heel-' during beating the rhythm of tap-dancing. "Poetic etymology" in this case does not coincide with the scientific one (the dance name roots back to the bird nomination which in its turn has the onomatopoetic nature (Chernykh 2: 389)), however, it possesses the poetic sense and participates in the creation of imagery.

Vertical space parametrisation which represents the universal space opposition 'top – down' and "indicates that the object is lower, i.e. closer to the ground surface or higher, i.e. further from the ground" (Gzhegorchikova 79), has been found in the poetry as a substantival binomial *горы-долины*: *Крик идет петушиный / В первой утренней мгле / Через* **горы-долины** / *По широкой земле...* (Tarkovsky 1: 345). Vertical space *горы-долины* intersects the horizontal one – *по широкой земле,* so that the image of three-dimensional space arises in the poem.

The universal oppositeness related to time characterisation is represented by a substantival binomial the components of which designate celestial bodies which directly influence day-and-night time distribution on the Earth: *Доля декад! – / календарные* **солнце-луна**. */ Дождь и декабрь. / Вся Финляндия – боже! – больна.* (Sosnora "Pesn' Lunnaya" 50).

In Vysotsky lyric poetry we can observe the universal existential opposition representing continuum, for example: *Вы мне не поверите и просто не поймете: / В космосе страшней, чем даже в дантовском аду / По* **пространству-времени** *мы прём на звездолете / Как с горы на собственном заду…* (Vysotsky 1: 100). In the poetic text which reflects mythopoetic way of thinking acknowledging "inhomogeneity of space and time" (Toporov 1: 30), space and time can be perceived both as an indivisible unity and as separate concepts: "I cannot imagine Space without Time but I can easily imagine Time without Space. "Space andTime" is a dying hybrid in which even a hyphen looks like a cheater" (Nabokov V. V. Sobraniye Sochineny Amerikanskogo Perioda 4: 519, cited by Lyapon 250). In Visotsky's poem a scientific belief in space and time unity is reflected which is considered to be a feature of the XXth century.

The oppositeness based on the characteristics 'us' vs 'them' is actualised in Bella Akhmadulina's poetry: *Опрятные крыла вдоль* **родины-чужбины** / *влекущий, поспешай в град, не скажу: какой...* (Akhmadulina "Sobraniye Proizvedeny" 403). Logically opposed notions 'native country' – 'non-native country' united in this binomial assert simultaneous co-existence of two contrary entities on the grounds of 'native' – 'strange'.

In Brodsky's poem "The Fifth Eclogue (Summer)" (Ekloga 5-ya (Letnyaya)) we can observe a whole set of universal binary oppositions expressed not only by hyphenated substantival binomials with syntactic words (which is a feature of I. Brodsky individual style) but also by binate noun constructions:

> *Вслушайся, как шуршат метелки*
> *синеют от близости эмпирея.*
> *Салют бесцветного болиголова*
> **петушка-или-курочки**! *что лепечет*
> *ромашки отрывистой* **чет и нечет**!
> *как* **мать-и-мачеха** *им перечит,*
> *как болтает, точно на грани бреда...*
> (Brodsky "Chast' Rechi" 128)

The plant kingdom objects description is represented as the oppositeness of two entities: the male and the female ones – *петушок-или-курочка,* good and evil – *мать-и-мачеха,* 'he loves me, he loves me not' connected with the ritual of camomile fortunetelling – *ромашки отрывистой* **чет и нечет**. Ambivalency of the opposed entities is actualised in the eclogue by other binary oppositions as well: *Сумма* **красивых и некрасивых**; *пора зубрежки / к экзаменам, формул,* **орла и решки**; *расползаются* **вправо-влево**; *где* **вправо** *сворачиваешь не без риска / вынырнуть* **слева**: *все* **далеко и близко** (Brodsky "Uraniya" 129, 131, 132, 128). In Brodsky's poetry we can observe different graphical presentation of binary opposites which testifies both a different level of the elements cohesion and the poetic search for the oppositions implementation (*мать-и-мачеха* is a set phrase; *вправо-влево* is rather frequent, it is opposed to the two-part representation of 'far from' and 'close to'). A binate hyphenated adverbial construction *вправо-влево* refers to the archetypical universal oppositeness indicating spatial parameters of the things being described. Similar constructions characterising the basic human spatial orientations such as *вправо-влево, взад-вперед, вверх-вниз,* are encountered in other poetic individual styles: *Помню – всех главнее королева: / Ходит* **взад-вперед** *и* **вправо-влево**, – / Ну а кони вроде – буквой «Г».* (Vysotsky 1: 305); *Все в мире бренно – но не сын, / вверх-вниз гоняющий качели.* (Akhmadulina "Utro Posle Luny" 234).

The research of the substantival binomials actualising universal binary oppositions in poetry allowed us to find out some specific features of their functioning in the Russian language poetry. Among the identified hyphenated

constructions the binary oppositions represent a complete stage of any cycle, rhythmicality / repetitiveness of movements, space and temporal characteristics, 'us' vs 'them' opposition. In a number of cases the substantival binomials, representing universal archetypical oppositeness and being in close contact with other structural elements of the poetic text, form the whole complex of binary oppositions which are expressed both by binate substantival hyphenated and non-hyphenated constructions and by adjectival and adverbial constructions.

The prospect of the future research implies poetic representation studies of binary oppositions in other Slavic languages, in an individual style or a poetic school.

References

Bakhtin, Mikhail. *Tvorchestvo Fransua Rable i Narodnaya Kul'tura Srednevekov'ya i Renessansa (The work of Francois Rabelais and Popular Culture of the Middle Ages)*. Moskva: Khudozh. lit., 1990. Print.

Bikerton, Derek. "Vvedeniye v Lingvisticheskuyu Teoriyu Metafory (Introduction to Linguistic Theory of Metaphor)". *Teoriya Metafory (Metaphor Theory)*. Moskva: Progress, 1990. 284-306. Print.

Buvalets, Yelena. *Dvoynye Substantivnye Konstruktsii v Russkoy Poezii XX–XXI vekov (Double Substantival Constructions in Russian Poetry of XX – XXI centuries)*. Diss. of H. S. Skovoroda Kharkiv National Pedagogical University, 2014. Print.

Buvalets, Yelena. "Substantivnye Binomy s Prostranstvennymi Otnosheniyami v Russkom Poeticheskom Tekste XX veka (Substantival Binomials with Spatial Relations in Russian Poetic Text of XXth century)". *Russkaya Filologiya (Russian Philology)* 3 (2016): 29–32. Print.

Gzhegorchikova, Renata. "Ponyatiynaya Oppozitsiya Verkh – Niz (pol. 'Wierzch' – 'Spód') i Yazykovaya Model Prostranstva (Notional Opposition Top – Down (pol. 'Wierzch' – 'Spód') and Language Pattern of Space)". *Logichesky Analiz Yazyka. Yazyki Prostranstv (Logical Analysis of Language)*. Moskva: Yazyki Russkoy Kultury, 2000. 78–83. Print.

Gin, Yakov. *Problemy Poetiki Grammaticheskikh Kategory (Problems of Poetics of Grammatical Ctegories)*. Sankt-Peterburg: Akademichesky Proekt, 1996. Print.

Lyapon, M. " 'Dialogi' Metafor v Semanticheskom Slovare i Avtorskom Eksperimente (Dialogues of Metaphors in Semantic Vocabulary and Author's Experiment)". *Chelovek o Yazyke – Yazyk o Cheloveke: Sbornik Statey Pamyati Akademika N. Yu. Shvedovoy (A Person about Language – Language about Person: Collection of Articles in Remembrance of Academician N. Yu. Shvedova)*. Moskva: Izdatelsky Tsentr "Azbukovnik", 2012. 238255. Print.

Panova, Lada. "Modeli Vremeni v Poezii Mandel'shtama (1908 – 1937 g.g.) (Models of Time in Mandel'shtam's Poetry (1908 – 1937))". *Tekst. Intertekst. Kultura: Sbornik Dokladov Mezhdunarodnoy Nauchnoy Konferentsii, 4-7 aprelya 2001, Moskva (Text. Intertext. Culture: Book of Reports of International Scientific Conference, April 4-7, 2001, Moskva)*. Ed. by V. Grigoryev, N. Fateyeva. Moskva: "Azbukovnik", 2001. 78-96. Print.

Skorobogatova, Yelena. *Grammaticheskiye Znacheniya i Poeticheskiye Smysly: Poetichesky Potentsial Russkoy Grammatiki (Morfologicheskiye Kategorii i Leksiko-Grammaticheskiye Razryady Imeni) (Grammatical Meanings and Poetical Senses: Poetical Potential of Russian Grammar (Morphological Categories and Lexico-Grammatical Classes of Noun)*. Kharkov: NTMT, 2012. Print.

Skorobogatova, Yelena. "Dvoynye Substantivnye Sochetaniya s Prilozheniyami i Kvaziprilozheniyami v Poeticheskom Tekste (Double Substantival Constructions with Nouns-in-Apposition and Nouns-in-Quasiapposition in Poetic Text)". *Funktsionalnaya Lingvistika (Functional Linguistics)* 2 (2010): 240–242. Print.

Toporov, Vladimir. *Mirovoye Derevo. Universalnye Znakovye Kompleksy (World Tree. Universal Sign Complexes)*. Moskva: Rukopisnye Pamyatniki Drevney Rusi, 2010. Print.

Tsivyan, T. "O Nekotorykh Sposobakh Otrazheniya v Yazyke Oppozitsii Vnutrenny / Vneshny (About Some Means of Reflection Opposition Internal / External in Language)". *Strukturno-Tipologicheskiye Issledovaniya v Oblasti Grammatiki Slavyanskikh Yazykov (Structural and Typological Research in Area of Slavic Languages Grammar)*. Moskva: Izdatelstvo "Nauka", 1973. 242-261. Print.

Chernykh, Pavel. *IstorikoEtimologichesky Slovar Sovremennogo Russkogo Yazyka: v 2 t. (Historical and Etymological Dictionary of Modern Russian Language: in 2 volumes)*. Moskva: Rus. yaz. – Media, 2007. Print.

List of Sources

Antologiya Bardovskoy Pesni (Anthology of Songs Composed and Performed by Amateur Singers and Composers). Moskva: Izd-vo "Eksmo", 2008. Print.

Akhmadulina, Bella. *Sobraniye Proizvedeny (Collection of Works)*. Rostov n/D: Feniks, 1998. Print.

Akhmadulina, Bella. *Utro Posle Luny. Izbrannoye (Morning after the Moon. Selection)*. Moskva: Astrel: Olimp, 2011. Print.

Brodsky, Iosif. *Chast' Rechi: Stikhotvoreniya. 1972 – 1976 (Part of Speech. Poetry. 1972 – 1976)*. Sankt-Peterburg: Pushkin. fond, 2000. Print.

Brodsky, Iosif. *Uraniya. Stikhotvoreniya (Urania. Poetry)*. Sankt-Peterburg: Pushkin. fond, 2000. Print.

Vysotsky, Vladimir. *Sochineniya v Dvukh Tomakh (Two-Volumed Works)*. Yekaterinburg: Izd-vo «U-Faktoriya», 1999. Print.

Natsionalny Korpus Russkogo Yazyka (Poetichesky Korpus) (Russian National Corpus (Poetic Corpus)). Web. 27 Dec. 2016.

Olefir, Yuliya. *Ya – Koshka. Stikhi (I am the Cat. Poetry)*. Donetsk: Izdatel'stvo "Svit knyhy", 2013. Print.

Sosnora, Viktor. *Vozvrashcheniye k Moryu. Lirika (Returning to the Sea. Lyrics)*. Leningrad: Sov. pisatel, 1989. Print.

Sosnora, Viktor. *Pesn' Lunnaya. Stikhi (Song of the Moon. Poetry)*. Leningrad: Sov. pisatel, 1982. Print.

Tarkovsky, Arseny. Sobraniye Sochineny v 3-kh t. (Collected Edition in 3 vol.). Moskva: Khudozh. lit., 1991. Print.

BINARY OPPOSITIONS IN RUSSIAN-LANGUAGE POETRY OF XXth-XXIst CENTURIES (a case study of hyphenated substantival binomials)

Olena Skorobohatova, Olena Buvalets

Slavonic Languages Department, H. S. Skovoroda Kharkiv National Pedagogical University, Kharkiv, Ukraine

Abstract

Background: The problem of binary archetypical oppositions based on a certain unity of two contrary entities is under consideration of numerous linguistic and related to linguistics researches. Study of binary oppositions realisation in hyphenated substantival binomials, which we qualify as grammatical binomial expressions representing graphical and grammatical merger of two independent substantival units existing in lexicon, is an advanced and understudied aspect of modern linguopoetics.

Purpose: The purpose of the paper is to describe the semantic structure and poetic functioning of hyphenated substantival binomials representing universal binary oppositions which is based on the Russian-language poetry of XXth-XXIst centuries.

Results: In a number of instances substantival binomials represent the archetypical binary oppositions. In poetry they implement both existent in the language logical-and-notional ambivalent oppositenesses and create individual author's expressions relying on existent notions. The main notional types of substantival binomials connected with archetypical oppositions are realisation of a complete stage of any cycle, rhythmicality / recurrence of actions / movements, vertical space parametrisation, temporal characteristic, spatiotemporal criteria for ontological continuum description, opposition on the grounds of 'us' vs 'them'.

Discussion: Hyphenated substantival bimomials implementing binary oppositions in the Russian-language poetry have a number of features and they are represented by specific groups which have been partially described in the paper but the list of given implementations is not comprehensive. In a number of cases substantival binomials being in close interaction with other poetic structural elements form a complex layer of binary oppositions represented not only by substantival hyphenated grammatical binomial expressions. The prospect of the future research implies poetic representation studies of binary archetypical oppositions in West- and South-Slavic languages, in an individual style or a poetic school.

Key words: binary oppositions, archetypical oppositions, substantival binomials, space, time, 'us' vs 'them', repetitiveness, cyclicity, poetic text.

Vitae:

Olena Skorobohatova is Doctor of Philology, Associate Professor, Head of Slavonic Languages Department of H. S. Skovoroda Kharkiv National Pedagogical University. Her areas of research interests include poetic grammar, linguopoetics, linguopoetic features of individual style, Slavonic languages grammar.

Olena Buvalets is a Candidate of Philological Sciences. Her areas of research interests comprise linguopoetics and poetic text grammar.

Correspondence: skorobogatova.elena@gmail.com, elenabuvalets@gmail.com

Дарина Харитонова

СТРАТЕГІЇ Й ТАКТИКИ ПЕРСУАЗИВНОГО ВПЛИВУ В ПОЛІТИЧНОМУ ЖАНРІ ТЕЛЕДЕБАТІВ

У рамках статті політична маніпуляція розглянута як засіб створення «ідеальної» дійсності, яка б відповідала тим чи тим політичним цілям. На прикладі фрагменту стенограми теледебатів кандидатів (В. Януковича та В. Ющенка) на посаду Президента України проаналізовано стратегії й тактики з точки зору персуазивного впливу на фокусну аудиторію. Визначено когнітивні сценарії їх розгортання.

Ключові слова: маніпуляція, персуазія, теледебати, метастратегія, тактика, когнітивний сценарій.